

# **DSC Change Proposal**

**Change Reference Number: XRN4752** 

Customers to fill out all of the information in this colour

Xoserve to fill out all of the information in this colour

Section A1: General Details			
Change Title	Meter Read Performance		
Date Raised	30/08/2018		
Sponsor Organisation	Xoserve		
Sponsor Name	Emma Smith		
Sponsor Contact Details	Emma.Smith@xoserve.com		
	0121 229 2194		
Xoserve Contact Name	Emma Smith		
Xoserve Contact Details	Emma.Smith@xoserve.com		
	0121 229 2194		
Change Status	With DSG		
Section A2: Impacted Parties			
Customer Class(es)	⊠ Shipper		
	☐ National Grid Transmission		
	☐ Distribution Network Operator		
	□ IGT		

### Section A3: Proposer Requirements / Final (redlined) Change

Section M of UNC states the read obligation for Class 2 and Class 3 Supply Meter points. Within Code this section also states:

- TPDM5.7.4 Each User shall secure that the requirement in paragraph 5.7.1 is complied with in respect of at least 97.5% of Relevant Class 2 Supply Meters every Day and the CDSP shall notify each User of its performance in such respect
- TPDM5.8.5 MD is the number of Relevant Class 3 Supply Meters and the CDSP shall notify each User of its performance in such respect.

This states that the CDSP has an obligation to report the performance. There are service lines set up for us to provide read performance reports; we need a CP to enact this.



Copy of Service lines relating to meter reac

A report specification has been created and is available here:



Report Specification Template - Read perf

It is proposed to provide these reports anonymously until the PARR is amended to provide non-



anonymised reports to PAC (completed under UNCC; not the DSC).					
Proposed Release	Ad-hoc – data release				
Proposed Consultation Period					
•	☐ 20 Working	•			
	_	•			
	☐ 30 Working of Other:	uays			
Section A4: Benefits and Justificat					
Benefit Description		Allows for meter read performance to be monitored,			
What, if any, are the tangible benefits of introd What, if any, are the intangible benefits of intro change?		encouraging meter read submission and transparency			
Benefit Realisation When are the benefits of the change likely to be	pe realised?	As soon as delivered			
Benefit Dependencies		N/A			
Please detail any dependencies that would be					
of the change, this could be reliance on another on some other event that the projects has not					
of.					
Section A5: Final Delivery Sub Gro					
Until a final decision is achieved, please refer	to section C of the fo	rm.			
Final DSG Recommendation	Approve / Rejec	ct / Defer			
DSG Recommended Release	Release X: Feb	) / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY			
Section A6: Funding					
Funding Classes	⊠ Shipper	100%			
	☐ National Gr	rid Transmission XX%			
	<ul><li>Distribution</li></ul>	Network Operator XX%			
	□ IGT	XX%			
Service Line(s)	Service Line 18 – Provision of user reports and information				
ROM or funding details	N/A				
Funding Comments	Shippers agreed for this to be 100% Shipper funded				
Section A7: CHMC Recommendati					
Change Status		ssue to DSG			
	☐ Defer – Issu	e to Consultation			
	☐ Reject				
Industry Consultation	☐ 10 Working	Days			
	□ 20 Working Days				
	☐ 30 Working days				
	Other:				
Expected date of receipt for	XX/XX/XXXX				
responses (to Xoserve)					
DSC Consultation					
Issued	□ Yes ⊠ No				
Date Issued	-				
Comms Ref(s)					
Number of Responses					
Section A8: DSC Voting Outcome					
Solution Voting		Approve			
	_ ''	rid Transmission NA			
		Network Operator NA			



	□ IGT	NA
Meeting Date	12/09/2018	
Release Date	TBC	
Overall Outcome	Approved to proceed to DSG	

Please send the completed forms to: <u>mailto:box.xoserve.portfoliooffice@xoserve.com</u>

### **Document Version History**

Version	Status	Date	Author(s)	Summary of Changes
1.0	Draft	30/08/18	Rachel Hinsley	New Change Proposal
2.0	Approved at ChMC	14/09/18	Rachel Hinsley	Update from ChMC meeting on 12/09 added ti A7 and A8.
3.0	DSG notes added	20/09/18	Rachel Hinsley	DSG notes from 17 <sup>th</sup> September added
4.0	DSG notes added	05/10/18	Rachel Hinsley	DSG notes from 17 <sup>th</sup> September updated with discussions from 1 <sup>st</sup> October

### **Template Version History**

Version	Status	Date	Author(s)	Summary of Changes
3.0	Approved	17/04/2018	Emma Smith	Template approved at ChMC on 11 <sup>th</sup> July

# Section C: DSC Change Proposal: DSG Discussion

(To be removed if no DSG Discussion is required; Xoserve to collate where DSG discussions occur)



Section C1: Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations			
DSG Date	17/09/18		
DSG Summary			
The prioritisation score of 26% was presented to DSG. This change originated from the Performance Assurance Committee; the purpose of the change is to provide greater detail and context on Meter Read Performance reports.			
Capture Document /	N/A		
Requirements	14/74		
DSG Recommendation	N/A		
DSG Recommended Release	N/A		



### **Change Prioritisation Variables**

Xoserve uses the following variables set for each and every change within the Xoserve Change Register, to derive the indicative benefit prioritisation score, which will be used in conjunction with the perceived delivery effort to aid conversations at the DSC ChMC and DSC Delivery Sub Groups to prioritise changes into all future minor and major releases.



Change Driver Type	☐ CMA Order ☐ MOD / Ofgem			
	☐ EU Legislation ☐ License Condition			
	☐ BEIS ☐ ChMC endorsed Change Proposal			
	☐ SPAA Change Proposal ☐ Additional or 3 <sup>rd</sup> Party Service Request			
	☐ Other(please provide details below)			
Please select the customer	⊠Shipper Impact □ iGT Impact □ Network Impact			
group(s) who would be impacted	□Xoserve Impact □National Grid Transmission Impact			
if the change is not delivered	Enational One Transmission impact			
Associated Change reference				
Number(s)	None			
Associate LMOD Nevel 27(2)				
Associated MOD Number(s)	MOD0664			
	WOD0004			
Perceived delivery effort	⊠ 0 – 30 □ 30 – 60			
•	□ 60 – 100 □ 100+ days			
Does the project involve the	☐ Yes (If yes please answer the next question)			
processing of personal data?	□ No			
'Any information relating to an identifiable				
person who can be directly or indirectly identified in particular by reference to an				
identifier' – includes MPRNS.				
A Data Protection Impact	☐ New technology ☐ Vulnerable customer data ☐ Theft of Gas			
Assessment (DPIA) will be	☐ Mass data ☐ Xoserve employee data			
required if the delivery of the	☐ Fundamental changes to Xoserve business			
change involves the processing of	☐ Other(please provide details below)			
personal data in any of the following scenarios:				
Tollowing Scenarios.	(If any of the above boxes have been selected then please contact The Data Protection Officer (Sally Hall) to complete the DPIA.			
Change Beneficiary	☐ Multiple Market Participants ☐ Multiple Market Group			
How many market participant or segments	☐ All industry UK Gas Market participants ☐ Xoserve Only			
stand to benefit from the introduction of the change?				
Primary Impacted DSC Service	Service Area 3: Record/submit Data in Compliance with UNC			
Area	'			
Number of Service Areas	☐ All ☐ Five to Twenty ☐ Two to Five			
Impacted				
Change Improvement Scale?	☐ High ☐ Medium ☐ Low			
How much work would be reduced for the customer if the change is implemented?				
	following at risk if the change is not delivered?			
<u>-</u>	□ Customer(s) incurring financial loss □ Customer Switching at risk			
	e following required if the change is delivered?			
☐ Customer System Changes Require	ed   Customer Testing Likely Required   Customer Training Required			
	nown Impact to Systems / Processes			
Primary Application impacted	⊠BW □ ISU □ CMS			
	□ AMT □ EFT □ IX			
	☐ Gemini ☐ Birst ☐ Other (please provide details below)			
Business Process Impact	□AQ □SPA □RGMA			
	☐ Other (please provide details below)			
Are there any known impacts to	☐ Yes (please provide details below)			
external services and/or systems				



as a result of delivery of this			
change?	□ No		
Please select customer group(s) who would be impacted if the	· · ·	<ul><li>□ Network impact</li><li>□ IGT impact</li><li>□ National Grid Transmission Impact</li></ul>	
change is not delivered.	'	<u> </u>	
V	Vorkaround currently in opera	ation?	
Is there a Workaround in	☐ Yes		
operation?	⊠ No		
If yes who is accountable for the	☐ Xoserve		
workaround?	☐ External Customer		
	☐ Both Xoserve and External Customer		
What is the Frequency of the			
workaround?			
What is the lifespan for the workaround?			
What is the number of resource			
effort hours required to service workaround?			
What is the Complexity of the	Low (easy, repetitive, quick task	c vary little rick of human arror)	
workaround?			
workaround:		quires some form of offline calculation, possible risk of	
	human error in determining outcome)		
		suming, requires specialist resources, high risk of	
Oleman Balanida adam Oleman	human error in determining outcome)		
Change Prioritisation Score	26%		

## **Document Control**

## **Version History**

Version	Status	Date	Author(s)	Summary of Changes
1	Draft	27/04/18	Anesu Chivenga	

