



Extraordinary DSG Delivery Sub Group for CSS Consequential Change

11 July 2019 at 10:00 AM

Xoserve Limited, Lansdowne Gate, 65 New Road, Solihull, B91 3DL

Meeting Minutes

Industry Attendees	
Bryan Hale	Centrica
Sean Cooper	nPower
Deborah Sherlock	SSE
Robert Lock	SSE
Alison Neild	Gazprom
Patricia Parker	Utiligroup
Kelly Oldfield	
Steph Podgorski	Generis
James Crosland	Orsted
Helen Hurst	Orsted
Derek Clark	CNG
Lorna Umolu	SSE
Michelle Hine	SSE
Hannah Hawkins	Total
Ben Palmer	SSE
David Hipwell	Centrica
Kev Urwin	NEON
Luigi Frizzi	Green Network

Xoserve Attendees	
Emma Lyndon	EL
Mike Payley	MP
Dave Addison	DA
Jo Galloway	JG
Lucy Mobberley	LM
Florentina Avram	FA



Overview

Emma Lyndon welcomed everybody and went through all the outstanding actions from the previous meetings.

Action XDSG-100619-019: EL advised the attendees that we are waiting to see how CSS will incorporate CR38 as Landmark released their design on the 1st July and the design only incorporates up to CR37. There was also a DCC Summit on Tuesday 2nd July where Netcompany advised that they now own ABACUS and that they built a portal where people can see the Physical Interfaces.

Action XDSG-260619-029: EL advised that API will be on the agenda for the 25th July and that Steve Weston (Xoserve Lead Architect) will join the meeting.

Action XDSG-260619-031: the Gemini subject will be discussed in the 25th July meeting.

Action XDSG-260619-032: EL advised the attendees that we had to produce our secondary files and present them on the 1st July and again on Tuesday 2nd July to the Design Forum Meeting and the DCC Summit. The reports for those meetings were published on our website.

Ofgem are keen to ensure that the market participants understand our secondary design. We received a number of questions which we will be taking into the next meeting on the 15th July.

Xoserve will also need to go through UNC change as the secondary interface files are UK Link files and they need to go for approval by the 23rd August.

Action XDSG-260619-037: the action was left open as Xoserve needs to run through how batch process works before we close it.

Action XDSG-26-619-041: we are still reviewing all our outstanding changes to understand the impacts.

MP advised the shippers that they need to agree what would they want to do in terms of the submission rules for the RRN.



Q: K12 & K14 into nomination enquiry; how would these be received if we restrict to Class 1 & 2 (these are the meter asset codes)?

Action to Xserve: Xserve to look at bringing the K12 & K14 records into the nomination enquiry response file- MP-25 July 2019

Q: Why do we want restrict?

A: This would mean that there are 3 processes required to complete where there is only 2 actually required. There is a potential impact to the nomination process where shippers would still be utilising the nomination process for 3 & 4, Xserve will need to ensure that there is a process in place to manage this. If this will remain as it is then Xserve will need to revisit this further down the line i.e. what happens if we receive a Nom file for a class 3 & 4.

Decision: RRN submission will be mandatory for all proposed Class 1 & 2 sites and optional for all other sites with an AQ over 73,200 kWh.

Action: DA to review the impacts the RRN submission decision will have on the updated UNC - 25 July 2019

BRN or CNF

Views were sort from the group as to whether to continue with the development of the new BRN file for the submission of settlement data to or continue to utilise the current CNF file.

Orsted's preference is to use the current CNF file and add the required new records / fields.

Centrica's preference was to introduce the new BRN file.

Gazprom were supportive of either option.

Xserve's recommendation was for the use of the new BRN file over CNF, one to support the separation of processes for CSS and non-CSS sites and secondly to support future change for CSS managed meter points.

The group agreed to continue with the BRN and BRR files over the use of the current CNF file.

Decision: BRN file to be created for the submission of settlement data and therefore the current CNF file will only be used for non-CSS managed sites.

MP asked the attendees to decide between Option 1 (include in new T90/T91 records) and Option 2 (utilise one of the existing record) for the submission of MAM



data with the new BRN file. MP advised that Xoserve recommendation is to go with Option 1 as current records would need to be amended to support the submission of the MAM ID. The group agreed to the recommendation.

Decision: Submission of MAM ID within the new BRN file will be incorporated in the new T90/T91 records.

Opening Meter Reads

DA explained the opening read process, challenges and possible rejection scenarios to the attendees.

DA also mentioned that if we get an activity that requires an opening reading (include a class change), it will over-ride the original read window through the creation of a reading on the registration effective date. This is defined in more detail in the new updated UNC.

Further discussion took place on the use of the tolerance over-ride flag on opening readings

Decision: It was agreed that where the over-ride flag is populated on an opening read submission that it will be ignored and not rejected.

Q: Based on the scenarios described what will happen with the D-5 window?

A: Proposal is to remove the D-5 to D-1 window and allow an opening read to be submitted with a read date between D and D+10.

Q: Can a new rejection reason be provided to a shipper if their opening read submission is rejected due to a subsequent shipper's opening reading been accepted.

A: Yes, this will be looked into.

Action: Xoserve to consider the inclusion of a new rejection code where a shipper's opening reading is not accepted due to the acceptance of a subsequent shippers opening reading – MP – 25 July 2019

Action: Shippers to consider the opening reading proposal to start the Read Window at D, rather than D-5 for Class 4 Opening Meter Readings and provide feedback at the next meeting – Shippers – 25 July 2019

Supplier Switching & Change of Shipper

MP walked through the process changes, scenarios and timings for both CSS registrations and change of Shipper events.



As there are no time constraints for change of shipper requests, if through user error an incorrect date was provided, e.g. 2091 instead of 2019 it would still be accepted and applied when the date was reached. All existing validation will remain as it.

Q: How will supplier of last resort work?

A: MP advised that this has been raised in a recent workshop with Landmark and Ofgem. This is expected to follow a similar process as today and UK Link will receive synchronise files from the CSS in line with the switching process.

Q: Can we put a delay on an ASN?

Action: Xserve to consider the inclusion of adding a delay into the process of sending an ASN file to enable the incoming shipper time to submit a BRN file, only for the registration effective date is more than one day in the future –MP-25 July 2019

Q: What would be the default rules applied for forced / initial registrations?

Action: Xserve to provide a view of the default rules that will be applied for a forced or initial registration where no BRN has been provided – MP – 25 July 2019

Action: Add timings onto the sequence maps for the change of shipper and supplier scenarios – MP-25 July 2019

The options for the TMC file structure where discussed:

Option 1 – Amalgamation of current TRF and MRI records into a single TMC file

Option 2 – Consolidation of TRF and MRI data to form new record structures within the TMC file

A third option was raised for the existing TRF and MRI files to be retained and therefore not replace with the new amalgamated file.

Action: Consider the 3 options discussed for the sending of the current TRF/MRI data and confirm preferred option at the meeting -Shippers- 25 July 2019

Data Cleansing

EL advised the attendees that the figures have not changed since the last report.

There are no further topics coming up at the Data Working Group. Once the CSS physical design has been base lined, this will be re-visited.

Data Quality reports will be issued the first week in August with the monthly plot to postal report.



Concerns raised in relation to the retail energy location (REL) specifically the need to understand the underlying business process and sequence of events around REL creation and provision. REL is controlled under licence by Ordnance Survey which we understand constraints the use of the REL to switching purposes only. A design forum action has been taken by Ofgem to quantify 'for switching purposes', until Xserve receive this confirmation our design and build activities continue at risk.

EL mentioned that she will keep the attendees updated regarding the Design Forum meetings.

Market Trials

JG advised the attendees that we will go through which bits we will have to test with Gemini.

End to End testing - Ofgem have advised that all the organisations with over 250,000 meter points will need to take part in the testing and all the organisations with less than 250,000 meter points can choose if they want to take part or not.

JG also mentioned that everybody will get involved in the consequential changes and that the consequential changes have to be completed before we go to end to end.

EL advised the attendees that there will be a Market Trials Group meeting in August and asked them to think about who will need to attend the meeting.

Action: Shippers to think about who will have to attend the Market Trials session in August- Shippers-25 July 2019.

AOB

EL advised the attendees that we have uploaded all our Switching Programme meeting day after reports (DAR) onto our website and we will continue to do so weekly.

Action: Confirm how Ofgem are engaging with the MAM's – DA- 16 August 2019

Next Meetings:

25 July 2019 – 10:00 to 15:00

16 August 2019 – 10:00 to 15:00

4 September 2019 – 10:00 to 15:00

17 September 2019 – 10:00 to 15:00

