

DSC Change Proposal Document

Customers to fill out all of the information in the sections coloured ■

Xoserve to fill out all of the information in the sections coloured ■

A1: General Details

Change Reference:	XRN 5144		
Change Title:	Enabling Re-assignment of Supplier Short Codes to Implement Supplier of Last Resort Directions		
Date Raised:	27/03/2020		
Sponsor Representative Details:	Organisation :	Xoserve	
	Name:	David Addison	
	Email:	David.addison@xoserve.com	
	Telephone:		
Xoserve Representative Details:	Name:		
	Email:		
	Telephone:		
	Business Owner:		
Change Status:	<input type="checkbox"/> Proposal	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> With DSG	<input type="checkbox"/> Out for Review
	<input type="checkbox"/> Voting	<input type="checkbox"/> Approved	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejected

A2: Impacted Parties

Customer Class(es):	<input type="checkbox"/> Shipper	<input type="checkbox"/> Distribution Network Operator
	<input type="checkbox"/> NG Transmission	<input type="checkbox"/> IGT
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <Please provide details here>
Justification for Customer Class(es) selection	<p>Supplier short codes are used to identify individual suppliers. This change would allow a single supplier short code to be re-assigned to an appointed Supplier of Last Resort (SoLR) rather than each individual supply meter point undergoing a standard change of supplier event.</p> <p>Impact assessment is required to understand whether this impacts individual market participants.</p>	

A3: Proposer Requirements / Final (redlined) Change

<p>Problem Statement:</p>	<p>The Ofgem Switching Programme Design Baseline 4 published in June 2018¹ indicated that following the implementation of the Central Switching Service (CSS), the preferred implementation of SoLR directions would be given effect using a Market Participant Identifier (MPID) transfer e.g. re-assignment of the failing supplier's short code to the appointed SoLR. This would effectively transfer responsibility for all supply meter points registered against the failing supplier's short code to the SoLR.</p> <p>In making this statement it was acknowledged that the impacts to CDSP and Transporter systems would need to be determined. Following a further Ofgem review, it is understood that this approach is to be followed as the CSS NFRs would not support a large scale Supplier failure – and would be inefficient to scale systems for such an event.</p> <p>This approach would materially vary the treatment of organisations in UK Link systems. This approach is set out in the MDD Market Participant Identity Verification Approach Document. This currently does not allow short codes to be re-used. Therefore, a change is required to enable this in certain circumstances, specifically to give effect to a SoLR direction.</p> <p>For the avoidance of doubt, it is not intended that the reuse of a Shipper Short Code is proposed. A Change of Shipper activity will need to be undertaken in the event that the Shipper entity is changed.</p> <p>Where a supplier short code is associated to a shipper short code (as they are linked to the same organisation), reassignment of the supplier short code will mean that the link between the supplier and shipper will be broken. Impact assessment is required to understand whether breaking this link will affect UK Link and market participant systems and each parties' processes.</p>	
<p>Change Description:</p>	<p>The Change Proposal will require changes to the MDD Market Participant Identity Verification Approach Document.</p> <p>This includes changes to the business rules in section 4 to clarify that supplier short codes can be re-assigned as part of a SoLR event and that a single Market Participant Identity could be assigned to different legal entities where the associated supplier and shipper are different.</p>	
<p>Proposed Release:</p>	<p>Release: Feb/Jun/Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY</p>	
<p>Proposed Consultation Period:</p>	<input type="checkbox"/> 10 Working Days	<input type="checkbox"/> 15 Working Days
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 20 Working Days	<input type="checkbox"/> Other [Specify Here]

1

A4: Benefits and Justification

Benefit Description:	<p>This proposal is required to facilitate delivery of the switching arrangements as set out in the DB4 Baseline.</p> <p>Historically, supplier short code re-assignment has only been used to facilitate transfer of customers as a result of a trade sale, where historic rights and obligations are also transferred. In considering this option for implementation of SoLRs under the new switching arrangements the benefits of this approach have been highlighted, particularly in terms of reliability for consumers by ensuring that all supply meter points associated with the failing supplier's short code are transferred in a single transaction.</p> <p>Amending the MDD Market Participant Identity Verification Approach Document will clarify the rules associated with supplier short code re-assignment.</p> <p>It is therefore proposed that this change be made in advance of CSS go live so that it can be utilised under the current arrangements, where deemed appropriate.</p> <p><i>What, if any, are the tangible benefits of introducing this change? What, if any, are the intangible benefits of introducing this change?</i></p>
Benefit Realisation:	<p>Following the change to the MDD Market Participant Identity Verification Approach Document, supplier short code re-assignment could be used in order to implement SoLR directions, where this is deemed to be the most appropriate approach.</p> <p>Following CSS go live, it is expected that a supplier short code re-assignment will be used where possible, noting that this will not be an effective solution whether a failing supplier's portfolio is to be split between multiple SoLRs.</p> <p><i>When are the benefits of the change likely to be realised?</i></p>
Benefit Dependencies:	<p>This change is not dependent on any other events / projects. However, a separate change is expected to be progressed under the switching programme to facilitate the timely change of shipper activities also required as a result of a SoLR direction, in order to minimise the time lag between the appointment of the SoLR and the associated change of Shipper where required.</p> <p><i>Please detail any dependencies that would be outside the scope of the change, this could be reliance on another delivery, reliance on some other event that the projects has not got direct control of.</i></p>

A5: Final Delivery Sub-Group (DSG) Recommendations – Removed

(see Section C for DSG recommendations)

A6: Service Lines and Funding

Service Line(s) Impacted - New or existing	
---	--

Level of Impact	Major/ Minor/ Unclear/ None		
If None please give justification			
Impacts on UK Link Manual/ Data Permissions Matrix			
Level of Impact	Major/ Minor/ Unclear/ None		
If None please give justification			
Funding Classes :	Customer Classes/ Funding	Delivery of Change	On-going Budget Amendment
	<input type="checkbox"/> Shipper	XX %	XX %
	<input type="checkbox"/> National Grid Transmission	XX %	XX %
	<input type="checkbox"/> Distribution Network Operator	XX %	XX %
	<input type="checkbox"/> IGT	XX %	XX %
	<input type="checkbox"/> Other <please specify>	XX %	XX %
ROM or funding details:			
Funding Comments:			

A7: ChMC Recommendation – Initial Review

Change Status:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Approve	<input type="checkbox"/> Reject	<input type="checkbox"/> Defer
Industry Consultation:	<input type="checkbox"/> 10 Working Days	<input type="checkbox"/> 15 Working Days	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 20 Working Days	<input type="checkbox"/> Other [Specify Here]	
DSC Consultation Issue:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
Date Issued:	14/04/2020		
Comms Ref(s):	2566.3 - MT - JR		
Number of Responses:	8 - Three approvals, One defer and 4 rejections.		

A7: ChMC Recommendation – Solution Considerations

Change Status:	<input type="checkbox"/> Approve	<input type="checkbox"/> Reject	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defer
Industry Consultation:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 10 Working Days	<input type="checkbox"/> 15 Working Days	
	<input type="checkbox"/> 20 Working Days	<input type="checkbox"/> Other [Specify Here]	
DSC Consultation Issue:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
Date Issued:	15/02/2021		

Comms Ref(s):	2768.1 – RT - PO
Number of Responses:	7 – One approval subject to comments, one approval for analysis only, One defer and 4 rejections.

A7: ChMC Recommendation – Solution Review

Change Status:	<input type="checkbox"/> Approve	<input type="checkbox"/> Reject	<input type="checkbox"/> Defer
Industry Consultation:	<input type="checkbox"/> 10 Working Days		<input type="checkbox"/> 15 Working Days
	<input type="checkbox"/> 20 Working Days		<input type="checkbox"/> Other [Specify Here]
DSC Consultation Issue:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes		<input type="checkbox"/> No
Date Issued:	Click here to enter a date.		
Comms Ref(s):			
Number of Responses:			

A8: DSC Voting Outcome

Solution Voting:	<input type="checkbox"/> Shipper		Please select.
	<input type="checkbox"/> National Grid Transmission		Please select.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Distribution Network Operator		Please select.
	<input type="checkbox"/> IGT		Please select.
Meeting Date:	Click here to enter a date.		
Release Date:	Release: Feb / Jun / Nov XX or Adhoc DD/MM/YYYY or NA		
Overall Outcome:	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	If [Yes] please specify <Release>

Please send the completed forms to: box.xoserve.portfoliooffice@xoserve.com