

Change Proposal

Changes to the Shipper Portfolio Summary Report (new fields and removal of fields no longer applicable)

Mod reference *(where applicable):* CDSP Reference: XRN4542

Document Stage	Version	Date	Author	Status
ROM Request / Change Proposal	0.1	24/11/2017	Steve Mullinganie	Submitted to Change Committee
ROM Response				Choose an item.
Change Management Committee Outcome	1.0	10/01/2018	Deborah Coyle	Approved by Change Committee
EQR				Choose an item.
Change Management Committee Outcome				Choose an item.
BER	0.1	03/04/2018	Jo Duncan	Submitted to Change Committee
Change Management Committee Outcome	1.0	11/04/2018	Deborah Coyle	Approved by Change Committee
CCR				Choose an item.
Change Management Committee Outcome				Choose an item.



Document Purpose

This document is intended to provide a single view of a change as it moves through the change journey. The document is constructed in a way that enables each section to build upon the details entered in the preceding section. The level of detail is built up in an incremental manner as the project progresses.

The template is aligned to the Change Management Procedures, as defined in the CDSP Service Document. The template is designed to remove the need for duplication of information. Where information is required in one section but has been previously captured in a previous section, the previous section will be referenced.

The summary table on the front page shows the history and the current status of the Change Proposal.

Section	Title	Responsibility
1	Proposed Change	Proposer / Mod Panel
2	ROM Request / Change Proposal	Proposer / Mod Panel
3	ROM Request Rejection	CDSP
4	Rough Order of Magnitude (ROM) Analysis	CDSP
5	Change Proposal: Committee Outcome	Change Management Committee
6	EQR: Change Proposal Rejection	CDSP
7	Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Notification of delivery date	CDSP
8	Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR)	CDSP
9	Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Committee Outcome	Change Management Committee
10	Business Evaluation Report (BER)	CDSP
11	Business Evaluation Report (BER): Committee Outcome	Change Management Committee
12	Change Completion Report (CCR)	CDSP
13	Change Completion Report (CCR): Committee Outcome	Change Management Committee
14	Document Template Version History	CDSP
Appendi	x	
A1	Glossary of Key Terms	N/A



Section 1: Proposed Change

Please complete section 1 and 2 and specify within section 2 the output that is required from the CDSP

		Origin	ator Details	
Submitted By	Steve Mullinganie		Contact Number	07990 972568
			Email Address	Steve.mulinganie@gazprom- energy.com
Customer	Emma Smith		Contact Number	0121 623 2368
Representative			Email Address	Emma.smith@xoserve.com
Subject Matter	Jon Harris		Contact Number	0121 623 2566
Expert/Network Lead			Email Address	John.r.harris@xoserve.com
Customer Class			id Transmission Network Operator	
		Overview of	proposed change	
Change Details		 > SP in Class > DM SP / MI > LSP SP / MI > SSP SP / MI > No. of SP Ri > No. SP subj ratchet pe > No. SP Seas > No. SP with > No of SP Iso > No of SP u > No of SP u 	f Supply Points (SP) / Mkt 1 / Mkt Share % (SP & Vol 2 / Mkt Share % (SP & Vol 3 / Mkt Share % (SP & Vol 4 / Mkt Share % (SP & Vol kt Share % (SP & Vol.) kt Share % (SP & Vol.) kt Share % (SP & Vol.) colling AQ recalculations (period) conally Large a AMR	l.) l.) l.) previous months) nonth and a total for the



	Remove all other fields in current PSR report
Reason(s) for proposed service change	Current Shipper Portfolio Summary Report was introduced some time back and many of fields supplied are no longer relevant due to industry change i.e. removal of interruptible supply points (so blank). As Nexus is now implemented the PSR report needs to provide information relevant to Supply Point Class. Also provide information around AMR equipment and Smart Meters recorded on the register
Status of related UNC Mod	N/A
Full title of related UNC Mod	N/A
Benefits of change	Provides relevant and useful information to the industry.
Required Change Implementation Date	November 2018
Please provide an assessment	□High
of the priority of this change from the perspective of the	⊠Medium
industry.	□Low
	Rationale for assessment:



Section 2: Initial Assessment / ROM Request / Change Proposal

Service Level of	Evaluation Services
Quote/Estimate Robustness Requested	□ Initial Assessment (Mod related changes only)
	□ROM estimate for Analysis and Delivery
	CDSP Change Services
	□Firm Quote for Analysis
	⊠Firm Quote for both Analysis and Delivery
Has any initial assessment	⊠Yes
been performed in support of this change?	⊠No

Is this considered to be a Priority Service Change?	 □Yes (Mod Related) □Yes (Legislation Change Related) ⊠No 	
Is this change considered to relate to a 'restricted class' of customers? Consider if the particular change is only likely to impact those who fall under a particular customer class If it impacts all customer classes (i.e. Transmission, Distribution & Shippers) then choose 'No'.	 Yes (please mark the customer class(es) to whom this is restricted) No Shippers National Grid Transmission Distribution Network Operators iGT's 	
Is it anticipated that the change would have an adverse impact on customers of any other customer classes? ⊠Yes (please give details) Please refer to appendix one for the definition of an 'adverse impact' No		
General Service Changes Only (please ensure that either A or B below is completed)		

A) Customer view of impacted service area(s)

For a definition of the Service Areas, please see the 'Charge Base Apportionment Table' within the <u>Budget</u> and <u>Charging Methodology</u>. Please indicate the service area(s) that are understood to be impacted by the change. Please enter 'unknown' if relevant. Where the change is likely to impact more than one service area please indicate the percentage split of the impact across the impacted service areas. For example if it is split equally across two service areas then enter 50% in the 'split' against each service area.

B) If the change is anticipated to require the creation of a new service area and service line please give further details stating proposed name of new service area and title of service line:



Specific Service Changes Only:

Please detail the proposed methodology (or amendment to the existing methodology) for determining Specific Service Change Charges.

Please detail the proposed basis (that is, Charging Measure and Charging Period) for determining Specific Service Change Charges in respect of the Specific Service.

Impacts to UKLink System or File Formats

None

Impacts UKL Manual Appendix 5b

none

Impacts to Gemini System

None

Please give any other relevant information.

Recipient	Email
Xoserve Portfolio Office	changeorders@xoserve.com
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 3: ROM Request Acceptance

Is there sufficient detail within the ROM Request to enable a ROM Analysis to be produced?	□Yes □No
If no, please define the additional details that are required.	

If the ROM Request is not accepted. Please forward this document to the Portfolio Office for onward transmission to the Change Management Committee



Section 4: ROM Analysis

This ROM is Xoserve's response to the above Evaluation Service Request. The response is intended to support customer involvement in the development of industry changes.

Should the request obtain approval for continuance then a Change Proposal must be raised for any further analysis / development.

Disclaimer:

This ROM Analysis has been prepared in good faith by Xoserve Limited but by its very nature is only able to contain indicative information and estimates (including without limitation those of time, resource and cost) based on the circumstances known to Xoserve at the time of its preparation. Xoserve accordingly makes no representations of accuracy or completeness and any representations as may be implied are expressly excluded (except always for fraudulent misrepresentation).

Where Xoserve becomes aware of any inaccuracies or omissions in, or updates required to, this Report it shall notify the Network Operators' Representative as soon as reasonably practicable but Xoserve shall have no liability in respect of any such inaccuracy or omission and any such liability as may be implied by law or otherwise is expressly excluded.

This Report does not, and is not intended to; create any contractual or other legal obligation on Xoserve.

© 2017 Xoserve Ltd

All rights reserved.

ROM Analysis

Change Assessment

High level indicative assessment of the change on the CDSP service description, on UKLink and any alternative options if applicable

Change Impact:

Initial assessment of whether the service change is / would have:

- a restricted class change,
- a priority service change
- an adverse impact on any customer classes

Change Costs (implementation):

An approximate estimate of the costs (or range of costs) where options are identified

Change Costs (on-going):

The approximate estimate of the impact of the service change on service charges

Timescales:

Details of timescale for the change i.e. 3months etc. Details of when Xoserve could start this change i.e. the earliest is release X.

Assumptions:

Any key assumptions that have been made by Xoserve when providing the cost and or timescale

Dependencies:

Any material dependencies of the implementation on any other service changes



Constraints:

Any key constraints that are expected to impact the delivery of the service change

Recipient	Email
Xoserve Portfolio Office	changeorders@xoserve.com
Requesting Party	As specified in ROM Request



Section 5: Change Proposal: Committee Outcome

The Change Proposal is approved. An EQR is requested	Approved		
Approved Change Proposal version	1.0		
The change proposal shall not proceed	NA		
The committee votes to postpone its decision on the Change Proposal until a later meeting	NA	Date of later meeting	
The committee requires the proposer to make updates to the Change Proposal:			
Updates required:			



Section 6: Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Change Proposal Rejection

				Change Proposal Rejection
	Yes		No	Is there sufficient detail within the Change Proposal to enable an EQR to be produced? If no, please provide further details below.
Furth	er deta	ails rec	quired:	

Recipient	Email
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 7: Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR): Notification of Delivery Date

Notification of EQR Delivery Date			
Original EQR delivery date:	09 th April 2018		
Revised EQR delivery date:			
Rationale for revision of delivery date:			

Recipient	Email
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 8: Evaluation Quotation Report (EQR)

Project Manager	Lorraine Cave	Contact Number	01216232728
		Email Address	lorraine.cave@xoserve.com
Project Lead	Jo Duncan	Contact Number	0121 210 2653
		Email Address	Joanne.duncan@xoserve.com

Please provide an indicative assessment of the impact of the proposed change on: i. CDSP Service Description ii. CDSP Systems	None Identified
Approximate timescale for delivery of 'business evaluation report' (N.b this is from the date on which the EQR is approved.)	Alongside this EQR
Estimated cost of business evaluation report preparation This can be expressed as a range of costs i.e. 'at least £xx,xxx but probably not more than £xx,xxx'.	This is a zero cost EQR
Does the CDSP agree with the 'Restricted class change' assessment (where provided)? Please refer to detail provided in the Change Proposal	⊠Yes ⊡No (please give detail below)
Does the CDSP agree with the 'Adverse Impact' assessment (where provided)? Please refer to detail provided in the Change Proposal	⊠Yes ⊡No (please give detail below)
Does the CDSP agree with the 'Priority Service Change' assessment (where provided)? Please refer to detail provided in the Change Proposal	⊠Yes ⊡No (please give detail below)
General service changes	
Does the CDSP agree with the assessment made in the Change Proposal regarding impacted service areas? This should refer to whether the proposing party	⊠Yes ⊡No (please give detail below)

X<>serve

considers the service change to relate to an	
existing service area or whether is constitutes a	
new service area.	
Specific service changes	
Does the CDSP agree with the proposal made in the Change Proposal regarding specific change	⊠Yes
charges?	□No (please give detail below)
This should refer to the proposed methodology (or amendment to existing methodology) for determining the specific service charges and the proposed basis for determining the specific service change charges.	
Please provide a draft amendment of the Specific Service Change Charge Annex setting out the methodology for determining Specific Service Change Charges proposed in the Change Proposal	N/A
EQR validity period:	3 Months

Recipient	Email
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 9: Evaluation Quotation Report: Committee Outcome

The EQR is approved	
Approved EQR version	
The Change Proposal shall not proceed. The Change Proposal and this EQR shall lapse	
The committee votes to postpone its decision on the EQR until a later meeting	Date of later meeting
The committee requires updates to the EQR:	
Updates required:	
	rill be based is originally defined in the change proposal and potentially
commented upon in the subsequent EC	
 Does the committee agree with the assessment of the service area(s) to which the service lin belongs and the weighting of th impact? 	e Yes
 If no, please enter the agreed service area(s) and the weighting: 	
potentially commented upon in the sub	
1.) Please confirm the methodolog for the determination of Specifi Service Change charges	JV
2.) Please confirm the charging measure and charging period f the determination of Specific Service Change charges	for



Section 10: Business Evaluation Report (BER)

Change Implementation Detail

1.) Detail changes required to the CDSP Service Description

There are no changes to the CDSP Service Description.

2.) Detail modifications required to UK Link

There are no changes required to the core SAP UK Link systems as this is an existing report which is amended within SAP BW.

3.) Detail changes required to appendix 5b of the UK Link Manual

No Changes are required

4.) Detail impact on operating procedures and resources of the CDSP

N/A

5.) Implementation Plan

If this BER is approved in the April ChMC it is estimated that these changes to the Shipper Portfolio Report will be able to be delivered on 25th May 2018.

6.) Estimated implementation costs

It is estimated that the amendments to the report shall cost no more than £1,500

6a.) How will the charging for the costs be allocated to different customer classes? (General Service Changes only)

Please mark % against each customer class:

National Grid Transmission

Distribution Network Operators and IGT's

DN Operator

IGT's

100 Shippers

100%

7.) Estimated impact of the service change on service charges

No impacts have been identifed.

8.) Please detail any pre-requisite activities that must be completed by the customer prior to receiving or being able to request the service.

N/A

X<>serve

Implementation Options

Please provide details on any alternative solution/implementation options:

This should include:

(i) a description of each Implementation Option;

(ii) the advantages and disadvantages of each option

(iii) the CDSP preferred Implementation Option

Do Nothing:

The report shall remain as is and remain unfit for purpose

Recommended Option:

The recommended option is to amend the existing report so that it is fit for purpose, ensuring that only relevant fields are on the report along with AMR equipment and Smart Meters information. The fields will be as captured in the Change Request; however there is an outstanding action from the last DSG meeting which may change the fields. If there are additional fields identified and it does not significantly impact the complexity of the amendments it shall be included, however this might occur additional cost.

The advantages of proceeding with this option are as follows:

- Ensuring the reporting is fit for purpose along with maintaining customer satisfaction
- No system changes or new reports, ensuring a quick turnaround time
- Additional information which wasn't previously captured in this report shall be added and all relevant information is provided to the Shippers

The disadvantages of proceeding with this option

- Will add to the current change congestion of the team that will be delivering the report
- The requirements may change at the DSG session on 9th April 2018 which may result in additional requirements, amending the complexity of this Change Proposal.

Restricted Class Changes only

Is there any change in the view of the CDSP on whether there would be an 'Adverse Impact' on customers outside the relevant customer class(es)?

 \Box Yes (please give detail below)

⊠No

Dependencies:

The Project is dependent upon no / minor changes being identified in the next DSG. If more complex requirements are received by this group then this may impact the delivery timescales and cost of this Change Proposal.

Constraints:

N/A



Benefits:
The main benefit is that the Shipper Portfolio Report remains fit for purpose, to ensure the users get the maximum value out of the report and the data contained within the report.
Impacts:
Other than adding to the current change congestion there are no foreseeable impacts of delivering this report
Risks:
 There is a small risk that delivering this change may add to the current change congestion, which may impact but not limited to: Environments Resources Prioritisation of delivery
There is also a risk that additional requirements are identified in the DSG which will impact the complexity and the cost of this Change Proposal.
Assumptions:
There are no / minor changes identifed to the requirements in the DSG on 9 th April 2018
Information Security:
The Information provided within the report will only be the information that is valid for the recipient to receive.
Out of scope:
Anything other than the requirements stipulated in the Change Proposal is out of scope, unless the DSG confirm small / minor changes that can be accomodated within the proposed timelines and cost.
Please provide any additional information relevant to the proposed service change:



N/A

Recipient	Email
Change Management Committee Secretary	dsccomms@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 11: Business Evaluation Report: Committee Outcome

The BER is approved and the change can proceed	Approved				
<i>Modification Changes Only</i> Please ensure that the Transporters are formally informed of the Target Implementation Date					
Approved BER version	d BER version 1.0				
The change proposal shall not proceed and the BER shall lapse					
The committee votes to postpone its decision on the BER until a later meeting	NA	Date of later meeting			
The committee requires updates to the BER:					
Updates required:					

X()serve

Section 12: Change Completion Report (CCR)

Change Overview

Please include detail on the following for the chosen implementation option: modifications to UKLink, impact on operating procedures and resources of the CDSP. Actions required of the customer prior to the commencement date			
Please detail any difference	es between the solution that	was implemented and what	was defined in the BER.
Detail the revised text of the	e CDSP Service Description	reflecting the change that ha	as been made
Were there any revisions to	the text of the UK Link Man	ual?	
\Box Yes (please insert the revised text of the UK Link manual below) \Box No			
Proposed Commencement Date		Actual Commencement Date	
Please provide an explanation of any variance			
Please detail the main lessons learned from the project			



Service change costs		
Approved Costs (£)	Actual Costs (£)	
Reasons for variance between approved and ac	ctual costs:	

Recipient	Email
Change Management Committee Secretary	enquiries@gasgovernance.co.uk



Section 13: Change Completion Report: Committee Outcome

The implementation is complete and the CCR is approved		
Approved CCR version		
The committee votes to postpone its decision on the CCR until a later meeting	Date of later meeting:	
The committee requires further information		
Further information required:		
The committee considers that the implementation is not complete		
Further action(s) required:		
The proposed changes to the CDSP Service Description or UK Link Manual are not correct		
Amendments to CDSP service description / UKLink ma	nual required:	



Section 14: Document Template Version History

The purpose of this section is to keep a record of the changes to the overall version template and the individual sections within. It will be updated by the CDSP following approval of the template update by the Change Management Committee.

Version History:

Version	Status	Date	Author(s)	Summary of Changes	
1.0	Approved CDSP Version Approved by Change Comm		Version Approved by Change Committee		

--- END OF DOCUMENT ---



Appendix One: Glossary

Term	Definition
Adverse Impact	A Service Change has or would have an Adverse Impact on Customers of a particular Customer Class if:
	(a) Implementing the Service Change would involve a modification of UK Link which would conflict with the provision of existing Services for which such Customer Class is a Relevant Customer Class;
	 (b) the Service Change would involve the CDSP disclosing Confidential Information relating to such Customers to Customers of another Customer Class or to Third Parties; (c) Implementing the Service Change would conflict to a material extent with the Implementation of another Service Change (for which such Customer Class is a Relevant Customer Class) with an earlier Proposal Date and which remains Current, unless the Service Change is a Priority Service Change which (under the Priority
	Principles) takes priority over the other Proposed Service Change; or
	(d) Implementing the Service Change would have an Adverse Interface Impact for such Customers.
General Service	A service provided under the DSC to Customers or Customers of a Customer Class on a uniform basis.
Non-Priority	A Service Change which is not a Priority Service Change
Service Change	
Priority Service	A Modification Service Change;
Change	or
	A Service Change in respect of a Service which allows or facilitates compliance by a
	Customer or Customers with Law or with any document designated for the purposes of
	Section 173 of the Energy Act 2004 (including any such Law or document or change
	thereto which has been announced but not yet made).
Relevant	A Customer Class is a Relevant Customer Class in relation to a Service or a Service
Customer class	Change where Service Charges made or to be made in respect of such Service, or the Service subject to such Service Change, are or will be payable by Customers of that Customer Class
Restricted Class	Where, in relation to a Service Change, not all Customer Classes are Relevant
Change	Customer Classes, the Service Change is a Restricted Class Change;
Service Change	 A change to a Service provided under the DSC (not being an Additional Service), including: (i) the addition of a new Service or removal of an existing Service; and (ii) in the case of an existing Service, a change in any feature of the Service specified in the CDSP Service Description, and any related change to the CDSP Service Description
Specific Service	A service (other than Additional Services) available under the DSC to all Customer or
	Customers of a Customer Class but provided to a particular Customer only upon the
	order of the Customer.