X Serve

UIG Task Force

9: DM Nomination Accuracy

Summary of	Findings Status	Closed								
Area & Ref #	Area & Ref # DM Nomination accuracy (REF#9)									
UIG Hypothesis	If DM Energy Nominations are inaccurate or are inconsistent this will contribute to forecas during the Nomination period.	UIG Impact Annual Average %	Negligible							
		Confidence in Percentages	н							
Data Tree References	ata Tree UIG Nomination > Class 1 and 2 Forecasted Energy and Child objects.									
Findings		Approach to analysis								
DM Nominations and on D-1 on average. were 101% of D+5 variability in some of show variance of 1 the D 10:00, D 21:0 variance. Shipper performant most accurate mean The analysis does	The generally accurate and have reached 99% accuracy by the 2 nd nomination run at 13:00. The first Nomination is also very accurate following Nexus Go-Live. Final Nominations allocation on average, with a daily variance of +- 2.6%. There is moderate day on day of the nomination runs. The runs between D-1 11:00 -D-1 16:00 and D13:00 – D16:00 can $0 - 15\%$ introducing the most uncertainty to nomination UIG. Based on historic accuracy, 00 and D+1 00:00 show the lowest daily levels of nomination accuracy variability at $2 - 4\%$ cc is fairly consistent and shippers with the largest DM energy share generally input the surements so the risk to nomination UIG variability is minimal.	Obtain complete DM nomination history from Gemini for the period 01/10/2016 – 30/09/2018. Create a nomination accuracy report detailing the prevailing nominated input energy at each nomination run compared with the D+5 allocation, and the percentage accuracy for each nomination window. 6 Compare the nominated accuracy with UIG to see if there is a relationship.								

Supporting Evidence (1/1)

Year	Month	D-1 11:00 Accuracy	D-1 13:00 Accuracy	D-1 16:00 Accuracy	D 00:00 Accuracy	D 10:00 Accuracy	D 13:00 Accuracy	D 16:00 Accuracy	D 21:00 Accuracy	D+1 00:00 Accuracy
2016	Oct	79%	93%	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
2016	Nov	78%	93%	96%	99%	98%	99%	100%	100%	100%
2016	Dec	81%	94%	96%	100%	101%	101%	101%	101%	101%
2017	Jan	81%	95%	98%	99%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%
2017	Feb	77%	98%	100%	103%	102%	102%	102%	102%	102%
2017	Mar	83%	95%	99%	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%	102%
2017	Apr	78%	95%	99%	102%	102%	103%	102%	102%	102%
2017	May	88%	98%	101%	104%	103%	103%	103%	102%	102%
2017	Jun	107%	107%	100%	104%	104%	104%	105%	105%	104%
2017	Jul	102%	113%	105%	100%	100%	113%	114%	102%	102%
2017	Aug	99%	99%	96%	99%	99%	100%	100%	100%	101%
2017	Sep	99%	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
2017	Oct	98%	99%	95%	98%	98%	99%	100%	100%	100%
2017	Nov	98%	99%	96%	99%	99%	99%	100%	100%	100%
2017	Dec	102%	102%	95%	101%	101%	101%	102%	102%	102%
2018	Jan	97%	99%	95%	99%	99%	100%	101%	101%	101%
2018	Feb	99%	100%	94%	99%	99%	100%	100%	100%	101%
2018	Mar	102%	102%	98%	102%	102%	102%	103%	103%	102%
2018	Apr	103%	104%	100%	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%	103%
2018	May	101%	101%	98%	101%	101%	101%	101%	101%	101%
2018	Jun	100%	100%	94%	101%	101%	101%	101%	101%	101%
2018	Jul	101%	101%	95%	102%	102%	102%	102%	102%	102%
2018	Aug	99%	100%	96%	99%	99%	99%	99%	100%	100%
2018	Sep	102%	102%	99%	101%	101%	101%	102%	102%	102%
т	ntal	93%	99%	97%	101%	101%	101%	102%	101%	101%

Aggregate Monthly Nomination accuracy shows that the DM nomination inputs are generally very accurate.

Note the improved performance for the early nomination starting in June 2017, coinciding with UK-Link go-live.

The overstated energy average for thee periods in July 2017 is the result of significantly overstated nominations for three nomination runs across 2 days.